10-206.

- [(d) (1) A regulation under this section may not:
- (i) grant the right to practice law to an individual who is not authorized to practice law;
- (ii) interfere with the right of a lawyer to practice before an agency or the Office; or
- (iii) prohibit any party from being advised or represented at the party's own expense by an attorney or, if permitted by law, other representative.
- (2) Paragraph (1) of this subsection may not be interpreted to require the State to furnish publicly provided legal services in any proceeding under this subtitle.] 10-206.1.

(A) AN AGENCY MAY NOT:

- (1) GRANT THE RIGHT TO PRACTICE LAW TO AN INDIVIDUAL WHO IS NOT AUTHORIZED TO PRACTICE LAW;
- (2) INTERFERE WITH THE RIGHT OF A LAWYER TO PRACTICE BEFORE AN AGENCY OR THE OFFICE; OR
- (3) PROHIBIT ANY PARTY FROM BEING ADVISED OR REPRESENTED AT THE PARTY'S OWN EXPENSE BY AN ATTORNEY OR, IF PERMITTED BY LAW, OTHER REPRESENTATIVE.
- (B) SUBSECTION (A) OF THIS SECTION MAY NOT BE INTERPRETED TO REQUIRE THE STATE TO FURNISH PUBLICLY PROVIDED LEGAL SERVICES IN ANY PROCEEDING UNDER THIS SUBTITLE.

10 - 222.

- (a) (1) [A] EXCEPT AS PROVIDED IN SUBSECTION (B) OF THIS SECTION, A party who is aggrieved by the final decision in a contested case is entitled to judicial review of the decision as provided in this section.
- (2) An agency, including an agency that has delegated a contested case to the Office, is entitled to judicial review of a decision as provided in this section if the agency [:
 - (i) is aggrieved by the final decision; and
 - (ii)] was a party before the agency or the Office.
- (b) Where the presiding officer has final decision-making authority, a person in a contested case who is aggrieved by an interlocutory order is entitled to judicial review if:
- (1) the party would qualify under this section for judicial review of any related final decision;
 - (2) the interlocutory order: